





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| THE STATE OF THE S |             | ×<br>1<br>6                            | United States Patent and T.<br>Address: COMMISSIONER OF P.<br>Washington, D.C. 20231<br>www.uspto.gov |                  |
|--|-------------|--|---|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR                   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/832,659   | 04/11/2001  | Adrian Whitty                          | 00689-513 (A064 US)   | 2160             |
| 7590 05/28/2002  Ivor R. Elrifi Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C. One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111  |             | * - E                                  | EXAMINER  |                  |
|  |             | اللاء موسائلية بشكر                    | SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN  |                  |
|  |             | 4.44.64.                               | ART UNIT  | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             | ************************************** | 1647 DATE MAILED: 05/28/2002  | 7                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   |  | Application No.          | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 09/832,659               | WHITTY ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examin r                 | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Jegatheesan Seharaseyon  | 1647   |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply                   |                          |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 F   | ebruary 2002 .           |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi  | s action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 8)🖂   | Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or e   | lection requirement.     |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>  |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>atent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |
| .s. Patent and Tra<br>PTO-326 (Rev  | 0.1.0.11   | on Summary               | Part of Paner No. 7                                  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/832,659 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, 28, 29 and 31 are drawn to a protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 10-18, 23-25 and 30 are drawn to a fusion protein, classified in class 530, subclass 387.3.
- III. Claims 19-22 are drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a protein, a vector, cell culture and a process for producing the protein, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- IV. Claims 26 and 27 is drawn to a pharmaceutical composition and a method of treatment, classified in class 424, subclass 85.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, for the following reasons:

Inventions (I and II) and III are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility, that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged. The polynucleotide of invention III can be used to make a hybridization probe or can be used in gene therapy as well as in the production of the protein of interest. The protein of inventions II and III can be used as a probe or used therapeutically or diagnostically, e.g. in screening.

Inventions (I and II) and III are related as product made and process of making.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the protein can be prepared by materially different process, such as by chemical synthesis.

Inventions (I and II) and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of inventions I and II can also be used in assays for the identification of aganoist and antaganoists of the polypeptide.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. If the applicant elects invention I or II, he/she must elect a species set forth X, Y and Z with a single defined structure with no variables. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-18 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 09/832,659

Art Unit: 1647

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement to be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon whose telephone number is 703-305-1112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 703-308-4623. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1647

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

js May 23, 2002 JEFFREY STUCKER PRIMARY EXAMINER